Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect
Nuclear and Particle Physics Proceedings 00 (2017) 1–4
Nuclear and Particle Physics Proceedings

# Including resummation in the NLO BK equation

T. Lappi[a,b], H. Mäntysaari[c]

[a] Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä P.O. Box 35, 40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland
[b] Helsinki Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 64, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
[c] Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

arXiv:1702.06320v1 [hep-ph] 21 Feb 2017

**Abstract**

We include a resummation of large transverse momentum logarithms in the next-to-leading order (NLO) Balitsky-Kovchegov equation. The resummed evolution equation is shown to be stable, the evolution speed being significantly reduced by NLO corrections. The contributions from NLO terms that are not enhanced by large logarithms are found to be numerically important close to phenomenologically relevant initial conditions. We numerically determine the value for the constant in the resummed logarithm that includes a maximal part of the full NLO terms in the resummation.

*Keywords:*

## 1. Introduction

Many calculations in the CGC [1] framework for describing high energy collisions in QCD are currently advancing to NLO accuracy in the weak coupling QCD perturbative expansion. This is the case for the Balitsky-Kovchegov [2] and JIMWLK [3, 4] renormalization group equations as well as for cross sections of specific processes such as inclusive DIS [5, 6] and forward single inclusive particle production in proton-nucleus collisions. Armed with these results, one would like to use them for phenomenology and evaluate the cross sections. This talk describes one part of this program, the numerical solution of the NLO BK equation both in its original form, following [7] and subsequently [8], including a resummation of large double and single collinear logarithms following the procedure developed in [9, 10].

After writing down the equation, we will first demonstrate the instability problem of the original unresummed equation, which manifests itself as a $\ln r$-divergence in the evolution speed of small dipoles. We then discuss our implementation of the resummation of the problematic logarithms. Finally we analyze numerically both the equation containing only the resummation and the full equation including the remaining finite terms.

## 2. The NLO BK equation

The large $N_c$-limit of the NLO BK equation as derived in [2] can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_y S(r) = &\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2}\boldsymbol{K_1}\otimes[S(X)S(Y)-S(r)]\\ &+\frac{\alpha_s^2 n_f N_c}{8\pi^4}\boldsymbol{K_f}\otimes S(Y)[S(X')-S(X)]\\ &+\frac{\alpha_s^2 {N_c}^2}{8\pi^4}\boldsymbol{K_2}\otimes[S(X)S(z-z')S(Y')-S(X)S(Y)]. \quad (1)\end{aligned}$$

The equation describes the dependence on rapidity $y = \ln s$ of the dipole operator, i.e. a correlator of two fundamental representation Wilson lines in the color field of the target:

$$S(x-y)\equiv 1-N(x-y)\equiv\frac{1}{N_c}\left\langle \mathrm{Tr}\, U^\dagger(x)U(y)\right\rangle. \quad (2)$$

The Wilson lines in the target are taken at coordinates $x, y, z, z'$ in the transverse plane, with $\otimes$ denoting an integral over $z$ or, depending on the term, $z$ and $z'$. We

denote $r = x - y$, $X = x - z$, $Y = y - z$, $X' = x - z'$ and $Y' = y - z'$. Here we have also closed the equation by using the large-$N_c$ mean-field approximation that replaces expectation values of products of dipoles by the product of expectation values. The kernels

$$K_1 = \frac{r^2}{X^2Y^2}\Bigg[1 + \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{4\pi}\Bigg(\frac{\beta}{N_c}\ln r^2\mu^2 - \frac{\beta}{N_c}\frac{X^2-Y^2}{r^2}\ln\frac{X^2}{Y^2} + \frac{67}{9} - \frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{10}{9}\frac{n_f}{N_c} - 2\ln\frac{X^2}{r^2}\ln\frac{Y^2}{r^2}\Bigg)\Bigg] \quad (3)$$

$$K_2 = -\frac{2}{(z-z')^4} + \Bigg[\frac{X^2Y'^2 + X'^2Y^2 - 4r^2(z-z')^2}{(z-z')^4(X^2Y'^2 - X'^2Y^2)} + \frac{r^4}{X^2Y'^2(X^2Y'^2 - X'^2Y^2)} + \frac{r^2}{X^2Y'^2(z-z')^2}\Bigg]\ln\frac{X^2Y'^2}{X'^2Y^2} \quad (4)$$

$$K_f = \frac{2}{(z-z')^4} - \frac{X'^2Y^2 + Y'^2X^2 - r^2(z-z')^2}{(z-z')^4(X^2Y'^2 - X'^2Y^2)}\ln\frac{X^2Y'^2}{X'^2Y^2} \quad (5)$$

include notably of the leading order part (first term in $K_1$), running coupling terms (proportional to the beta function coefficient $\beta$), and logarithms of both conformal (the ones that vanish when $r = 0$, i.e. $X = Y$ and $X' = Y'$) and nonconformal (in $K_1$) ratios of dipole sizes. We absorb all the terms involving the beta function coefficient $\beta$ into a running coupling constant, eliminating the renormalization scale $\mu$. We do this via the "Balitsky" running coupling for the LO term, and a parent dipole prescription for the NLO terms. In the infrared, we smoothly freeze coupling to the value $\alpha_s(r \to \infty) = 0.76$.

We parametrize the initial condition for the dipole amplitude as

$$S(r) = \exp\left[-\frac{(r^2Q_{s,0}^2)^\gamma}{4}\ln\left(\frac{1}{r\Lambda_{\rm QCD}} + e\right)\right]. \quad (6)$$

This form has essentially two tunable parameters, whose values should ultimately be determined by experimental data. The initial saturation scale $\frac{Q_{s,0}}{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}$ basically determines value of the coupling $\alpha_s$ at the initial rapidity. The other parameter is the anomalous dimension $\gamma$ that affects the shape of the dipole. At LO, phenomenology prefers values $\gamma \gtrsim 1$ at $y = 0$, which then eventually evolve into $\gamma \sim 0.8$ with the evolution.

## 3. Double and single log resummation

Figure 1 shows the contribution of different types of terms in Eq. (1) to the relative change of the scattering amplitude in one rapidity step, $\partial_y N(r)/N(r)$. It can be seen that the double (nonconformal) logarithm in $K_1$ is




Figure 1: Relative change $\partial_y N(r)/N(r)$ from different terms in the unresummed BK equation (1).

responsible for a negative change in the amplitude that diverges logaritmically for small dipole sizes $r$. It was shown in Ref. [11, 9] that this divergence is related to the derivation of Eq. (1) using momentum ordering in the probe. Schematically, the LO and double log terms of the equation can be written in an integral form

$$S_y(r) = \alpha_s\int^y dy'\int_z \frac{r^2}{X^2Y^2}\left[1 + \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}\ln\frac{X^2}{r^2}\ln\frac{Y^2}{r^2}\right]\Big[S_{y'}(X)S_{y'}(Y) - S_{y'}(r)\Big], \quad (7)$$

which is, to this order in $\alpha_s$, equivalent to the "kinematically constrained" form

$$S_y(r) = \alpha_s\int_z\int^{y-\ln z^2/r^2} dy'\,\frac{r^2}{X^2Y^2}\Big[S_{y'}(X)S_{y'}(Y) - S_{y'}(r)\Big]. \quad (8)$$

The kinematically constrained equation (8) resums the problematic double logarithms and is expected to give a physically meaninful result (see also [12]). As a practical tool it has the disadvantage of corresponding, written in differential form in $y$, to an equation that is nonlocal in rapidity. A clever trick proposed in Ref. [9] allows one to rewrite it in a rapidity-local differential form, but with the replacement

$$\left[1 + \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}\ln\frac{X^2}{r^2}\ln\frac{Y^2}{r^2}\right] \to \frac{J_1\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi}\ln\frac{X^2}{r^2}\ln\frac{Y^2}{r^2}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi}\ln\frac{X^2}{r^2}\ln\frac{Y^2}{r^2}}}. \quad (9)$$

Hidden in the kernel $K_2$ there is also a single transverse logarithm [10] that corresponds to the LO DGLAP




Figure 2: Contributions of the LO (running coupling), resummation, and other NLO terms to the evolution speed of the amplitude, at the initial rapidity $y = 0$ (left) and after $y = 10$ units of evolution (right).

anomalous dimension $A_1 = 11/12$:

$$\alpha_s^2 K_2 \otimes [S(X)S(z-z')S(Y') - S(X)S(Y)] \sim \alpha_s^2 A_1 \int_z \frac{r^2}{X^2 Y^2} \ln \frac{\min\{X^2, Y^2\}}{r^2} + \dots \quad (10)$$

Physically this should be resummed into

$$K_{STL} = \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha_s N_c A_1}{\pi} \left|\ln \frac{C_{\rm sub} r^2}{\min\{X^2, Y^2\}}\right|\right\}. \quad (11)$$

The numerical solution in Ref. [10] includes only these resummed double and single logarithms, setting by hand $C_{\rm sub} = 1$ and neglecting the other "finite" NLO terms.

## 4. Resummed equation

In Ref. [8] we set out to remove this limitation and include both the full finite NLO terms and the resummed single and double logarithms. To do this one must remove from the finite NLO part the single log that is already included in $K_{STL}$ to avoid double counting, and render the equation formally independent on the constant $C_{\rm sub}$ up to order $\alpha_s^2$. We write the full resummed equation as

$$\partial_y S(r) = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2}\left[K_{DLA} K_{STL} K_{Bal} - K_{\rm sub} + K_1^{\rm fin}\right] \otimes [S(X)S(Y) - S(r)] + \frac{\alpha_s^2 {N_c}^2}{8\pi^4} K_2 \otimes [S(X)S(z-z')S(Y') - S(X)S(Y)] + n_f\text{-part}. \quad (12)$$

To summarize, in the final resummed equation

- $K_{DLA} \sim J_1(x)/x$ would give back the double log term in $K_1$ if expanded in $\alpha_s$ to order $\alpha_s^2$.
- $K_{Bal}$ resums $\beta$-function terms in $K_1$
- $K_{STL} = \exp\left\{-\alpha_s N_c A_1 \# \ln r^2\right\}$ resums the single transverse log
- $K_{\rm sub}$ subtracts $\alpha_s^2$-part of $\alpha_s K_{STL}$, which is already included in $K_2$, to avoid double counting
- $K_1^{\rm fin}$ contains the other parts of $K_1$ that are not logarithmically enhanced.

For illustration purposes we now split this equation into three parts.

**LO** (running coupling) $\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2} K_{Bal}$: this includes the terms typically used in phenomenology so far

**Resummation** $\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2} K_{Bal}\,[K_{DLA} K_{STL} - 1]$: these are the resummed logarithmic terms included in the calculation of Ref. [10]

**Finite NLO** includes the other parts $-K_{\rm sub} + K_1^{\rm fin}$ and $K_2, K_f$.

The separation between resummation and finite NLO terms depends on the constant $C_{\rm sub}$ that cannot be fixed by the analytical calculation of the leading single logarithm. In the following calculation we have numerically determined the value that *minimizes* the "finite NLO" term and includes a maximal amount of the evolution in the resummation terms. We find that this can be done by taking $C_{\rm sub} = 0.65$.

Figure 2 shows that the finite NLO terms are a significant contribution to the evolution speed at the initial condition. Asymptotically they become negligible, provided that one has chosen the optimal value for the subtraction constant $C_{\rm sub} = 0.65$. With an arbitrary value such as $C_{\rm sub} = 1$ the finite NLO terms would remain significantly larger throughout the phenomenologically interesting rapidity regime. The corresponding evolution




Figure 3: Evolution speed of the saturation scale.

speed of the saturation scale, defined as $\lambda = \mathrm{d}\ln Q_s^2/\mathrm{d}y$, is shown in Fig. 3

As a final note that could have a significant effect on phenomenology using the BK equation let us discuss the anomalous dimension, which we define here as $\gamma(r) \equiv -\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln N(r)}{\mathrm{d}\ln r^2}$ to enable a numerical extraction from the evolved amplitude. In LO running coupling evolution, it quickly approaches a "geometrical scaling" value $\gamma \sim 0.8$ independently of the initial condition. The effect of the resummed NLO contributions seems to be to significantly slow down this development: the value of $\gamma(r)$ stays close to the initial condition, at least for initial values of $\gamma$ in the range $0.8 \ldots 1.2$. The fate of the geometrical scaling phenomenon in NLO evolution is thus not obvious and deserves more careful study in the future.

## 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have performed the first numerical solution of the BK equation that includes both a resummation of problematic transverse logarithms, and the full nonlogarithmic NLO terms. The resummation of the double logarithms stabilizes the equation and enables a meaningful numerical solution. Also the single "DGLAP" type logs can be resummed, but this procedure leaves an ambiguity in terms of an undetermined constant under the logarithm which we denote as $C_{\rm sub}$. The dependence on this constant cancels to this order in $\alpha_{\rm s}$ when also the other NLO terms are consistently included, removing the double counting between the two. Numerically we find that (at least for initial conditions $\gamma = 1$) the value $C_{\rm sub} = 0.65$ minimizes the finite NLO terms. Our recommendation would be to use this this value if, for numerical convenience, one desires to work with the simpler resummation terms only. In the future this procedure should be used to fit DIS data to extract a parametrization for the initial condition of the evolution, and to apply the resummed NLO equation to particle production in proton-nucleus and nucleus-nucleus collisions.

T.L. is supported by the Academy of Finland, projects 267321, 273464 and 303756 and by the European Research Council, grant ERC-2015-CoG-681707. H.M. is supported under DOE Contract No. DE-SC0012704. We acknowledge computing resources from CSC – IT Center for Science in Espoo, Finland.

## References

[1] F. Gelis, E. Iancu, J. Jalilian-Marian, R. Venugopalan, The color glass condensate, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60 (2010) 463–489. arXiv:1002.0333, doi:10.1146/annurev.nucl.010909.083629.

[2] I. Balitsky, G. A. Chirilli, Next-to-leading order evolution of color dipoles, Phys. Rev. D77 (2008) 014019. arXiv:0710.4330, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.014019.

[3] I. Balitsky, G. A. Chirilli, Rapidity evolution of Wilson lines at the next-to-leading order, Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 111501. arXiv:1309.7644, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.111501.

[4] A. Kovner, M. Lublinsky, Y. Mulian, Jalilian-Marian, Iancu, McLerran, Weigert, Leonidov, Kovner evolution at next to leading order, Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 061704. arXiv:1310.0378, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.061704.

[5] I. Balitsky, G. A. Chirilli, Photon impact factor in the next-to-leading order, Phys. Rev. D83 (2011) 031502. arXiv:1009.4729, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.031502.

[6] G. Beuf, NLO corrections for the dipole factorization of dis structure functions at low x, Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 034039. arXiv:1112.4501, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.034039.

[7] T. Lappi, H. Mäntysaari, Direct numerical solution of the coordinate space Balitsky-Kovchegov equation at next to leading order, Phys. Rev. D91 (2015) 074016. arXiv:1502.02400, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.074016.

[8] T. Lappi, H. Mäntysaari, Next-to-leading order Balitsky-Kovchegov equation with resummation, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 094004. arXiv:1601.06598, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.094004.

[9] E. Iancu, J. D. Madrigal, A. H. Mueller, G. Soyez, D. N. Triantafyllopoulos, Resumming double logarithms in the QCD evolution of color dipoles, Phys. Lett. B744 (2015) 293–302. arXiv:1502.05642, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.03.068.

[10] E. Iancu, J. D. Madrigal, A. H. Mueller, G. Soyez, D. N. Triantafyllopoulos, Collinearly-improved BK evolution meets the HERA data, Phys. Lett. B750 (2015) 643–652. arXiv:1507.03651, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.09.071.

[11] G. Beuf, Improving the kinematics for low-x QCD evolution equations in coordinate space, Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 074039. arXiv:1401.0313, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.074039.

[12] J. L. Albacete, Resummation of double collinear logs in BK evolution versus HERA data, Nucl. Phys. A957 (2017) 71–84. arXiv:1507.07120, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2016.07.008.